UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

| Ferdinando DiMaggio, | | |
|---|-------------|------------------------|
| v. | Plaintiff, | : Civil Action No.: |
| Alliance One, Inc.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive, | | : : : |
| | Defendants. | : : : |
| | | : |

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Ferdinando DiMaggio, says by way of Complaint against Defendant, Alliance One, Inc., as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- 2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims in this action, as all such claims arise out of the same case or controversy as Defendant's violations of the FDCPA pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as Defendant transacts business in the State of New Jersey.

PARTIES

- 4. The Plaintiff, Ferdinando DiMaggio ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Howell, New jersey, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant Alliance One, Inc. ("Alliance"), is a Pennsylvania business entity with an address of 4850 East Street Road, Suite 300, Trevosa, Pennsylvania 19053, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Alliance and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
 - 7. Alliance at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$600.00 (the "Debt") to Target (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Alliance for collection, or Alliance was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Alliance Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. Alliance called the Plaintiff numerous times per day in an attempt to collect the Debt with the intent to harass.
 - 13. Alliance was rude and abusive when speaking to the Plaintiff.
 - 14. Alliance added interest and fees in violation of the Debt agreement.
- 15. Alliance threatened to file legal action if the Debt was not paid immediately. To date, no such action has been filed.
- 16. Alliance failed to notify the Plaintiff of his rights under state and federal law by written correspondence within five (5) days after initial contact, including the right to dispute the Debt.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 17. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 18. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 19. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 20. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 21. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(2) in that Defendants used profane and abusive language when speaking with the consumer.
- 22. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) in that Defendants misrepresented the character, amount and legal status of the Debt.
- 24. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) in that Defendants threatened to take legal action, without actually intending to do so.
- 25. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.
- 26. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) in that Defendants attempted to collect an amount not authorized by the agreement creating the Debt.
- 27. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the amount of the Debt.
- 28. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the name of the original creditor to whom the Debt was owed.

- 29. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to dispute the Debt within thirty days.
- 30. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice informing the Plaintiff of a right to have verification and judgment mailed to the Plaintiff.
- 31. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice containing the name and address of the original creditor.
- 32. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 33. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

COUNT II INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

- 34. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 35. The Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) ascribes liability for intrusion upon seclusion where one individual, "intentionally intrudes...upon the solitude or seclusion of another, or his private affairs or concerns," and further states that "[said individual] is subject to liability to the other for invasion of privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."
- 36. New Jersey further recognizes Plaintiff's right to be free from invasions of privacy. Thus, the Defendant violated New Jersey state law.

- 37. The Defendants' telephone calls to the Plaintiff were so persistent and repeated with such frequency as to be considered, "hounding [the Plaintiff]," and "a substantial burden to [his] existence," amounting to an invasion of privacy as defined by the Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b).
- 38. The Defendants' conduct of engaging in the foregoing illegal collection activities resulted in multiple invasions of privacy that would be considered highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 39. As a result of the intrusions and invasions enumerated above, the Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages from the Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 40. All acts of the Defendants and their agents were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages from the Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- Actual damages including, but not limited to, the emotional distress the
 Plaintiff has suffered (and continues to suffer) as a result of the intentional,
 reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or
 negligent invasions of privacy pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
 § 1692k(a)(3);
- 4. Liquidated damages;
- 5. Punitive damages; and

6. Such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: November 2, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Jennifer Kurtz

Jennifer Kurtz, Esq. Lemberg & Associates LLC 1100 Summer Street Stamford, CT 06905 Phone: (732) 390-5262

Fax: (203) 653-3424